

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/579,202 | 05/12/2006 | Akiko Toriyama | SON-3140 | 6905 |
| 23353 | 7590 10/16/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING | | | KIANNI, KAVEH C | |
| | REET N.W., SUITE 501 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2883 | |
| WASHINGTO | IN, DC 20030 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/16/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | | | $\Im H$ |
|---|---|--|-------------|
| · · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/579,202 | TORIYAMA ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kianni C. Kaveh | 2883 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence addres | S |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON | NN. imely filed m the mailing date of this commur IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 | May 2006. | | |
| | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | ance except for formal matters, pi | rosecution as to the me | rits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 153 O.G. 213. | • |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,9-12,14 and 16</u> is/are pending | in the application | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | · • | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | awii iioni oonsideration. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | • | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | 1 | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,9-12,14 and 16</u> are subject to | restriction and/or election require | ment. | |
| Amuliantian Danaus | | | |
| Application Papers | | 1 | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | · · | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | | | • • |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | examiner. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-1 | 52. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | , ' | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | • | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | | tion No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | | | e |
| application from the International Burea | | Č | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | t of the certified copies not receiv | ed. | |
| | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| Attachment(s) | V | • | |
| I) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summar | v (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | Date | • |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Patent Application | |

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- I) Claims 1-3, 5 and 9-10 are directed to LCD including alignment films for orienting liquid crystal in a predetermined direction are formed, the alignment films facing each other across a predetermined gap by a sealing material to bond the pair of substrates between which a liquid crystal layer is sandwiched.
- II) claims 11-12, 14 and 16 are directed to LCD, projection type display including a condensing optical system for guiding the light emitted from the light source to a liquid crystal display device, and a projection optical system for enlarging and projection light modulated by the liquid crystal display device.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 10/579,202

Art Unit: 2883

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- I) Claims 1-3, 5 and 9-10
- II) claims 11-12, 14 and 16

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as stated above each invention is has limitation(s) that is directed toward an invention that would require a different search that that of other group inventions and because each of the above inventions defining an invention that is distinct that that of the other and requiring a different search.

A telephone call was made to applicant on 9/25/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,202

Art Unit: 2883

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kianni C. Kaveh whose telephone number is 571-272-2417. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-19:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2883

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 5, 2007

K. CYRUS KIANNI PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER